



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,907	11/20/2006	Graham McIntyre	15131.0003	6019
27890	7590	03/12/2008		
STEP TOE & JOHNSON LLP 1330 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20036			EXAMINER SWARTZ, RODNEY P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1645	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/12/2008	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/577,907

**Applicant(s)**

MCINTYRE ET AL.

**Examiner**

Rodney P. Swartz, Ph.D.

**Art Unit**

1645

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Rodney P. Swartz, Ph.D., Primary Examiner.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Peilin Tan-Aquino, Reg. No.59,280.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 25 February 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: claims of record.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: discussed proposed claim amendments. Examiner stated that amendment of claim 12 to delete "preventing" should obviate rejection. Also discussed amendment to replace "immunizing" with "immune modulation". Examiner stated that this appears to also obviate the rejection. Applicants will submit a formal response to the Office Action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Rodney P. Swartz, Ph.D./  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1645  
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an  
Attachment to a signed Office action.